

## R-TREES AND THE BIERI-NEUMANN-STREBEL INVARIANT

GILBERT LEVITT

### Abstract

---

Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group. We give a new characterization of its Bieri-Neumann-Strebel invariant  $\Sigma(G)$ , in terms of geometric abelian actions on  $\mathbf{R}$ -trees. We provide a proof of Brown's characterization of  $\Sigma(G)$  by exceptional abelian actions of  $G$ , using geometric methods.

---

### Introduction.

In a 1987 paper at *Inventiones* [BNS], Bieri, Neumann and Strebel associated an invariant  $\Sigma = \Sigma(G)$  to any finitely generated group  $G$ . This invariant may be viewed as a positively homogeneous open subset of  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ . It contains information about finitely generated normal subgroups of  $G$  with abelian quotient.

In the same issue of *Inventiones* [Br], Brown introduced HNN-valuations and related  $\Sigma$  to actions of  $G$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ -trees. In particular a nonzero homomorphism  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is in  $\Sigma \cap -\Sigma$  if and only if  $\mathbf{R}$  is the only  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree admitting a minimal action of  $G$  with length function  $|\chi|$  (see Theorem 3.2 below).

A few months earlier, also in *Inventiones* [Le 1], this author studied singular closed differential one-forms on closed manifolds  $M^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ). We defined *complete* forms by several equivalent geometric conditions; in the simplest case, a form  $\omega$  is complete if and only if every path in  $M$  is homotopic to a path  $\gamma$  that is either transverse to  $\omega$  or tangent to  $\omega$  (i.e.  $\omega(\gamma'(t))$  never vanishes or is identically 0).

We proved that any form cohomologous to a complete form is also complete, so that completeness defines a subset  $U(M)$  in the De Rham cohomology space  $H_{DR}^1(M, \mathbf{R}) \simeq \text{Hom}(\pi_1 M, \mathbf{R})$ . We also proved that  $U(M)$  depends only on the group  $G = \pi_1 M$ , and in fact  $U(M)$  is nothing but  $\Sigma(\pi_1 M) \cap -\Sigma(\pi_1 M)$ .

In this note we use (a generalization of) closed one-forms to give a new characterization of  $\Sigma(G)$ , this time in terms of *geometric* actions of  $G$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ -trees (Theorem 3.1). Assuming for simplicity that  $G$  is finitely presented, we say that an action of  $G$  on an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree is geometric if it comes from a measured foliation on a finite 2-complex  $K$  with  $\pi_1 K = G$  (see [LP] for a complete discussion). A consequence of Theorem 3.1 is:

**Corollary.** *Let  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a nonzero homomorphism, with  $G$  finitely generated.*

- (1) *There exists a geometric action of  $G$  on an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree with length function  $\ell = |\chi|$  if and only if  $\chi \in \Sigma \cup -\Sigma$ .*
- (2) *The action of  $G$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  by translations associated to  $\chi$  is geometric if and only if  $\chi \in \Sigma \cap -\Sigma$ .*

We also give a geometric proof of Brown's theorem, by associating a natural  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree  $T^+(f)$  to any real-valued function  $f$  defined on a path-connected space (there is a similar construction in terms of *romp-trees* in [BS, Chapter II]).

In Part 1 we define closed one-forms relative to a homomorphism  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , and we reformulate the condition  $\chi \in \Sigma$  in terms of closed one-forms. In Part 2 we recall known facts about abelian actions on  $\mathbf{R}$ -trees (see [CuMo], [Sh]). In Part 3 we prove both characterizations of  $\Sigma$  mentioned above.

**Acknowledgements.** This work was motivated by Skora's remark that actions of nonabelian free groups on  $\mathbf{R}$  are not geometric. It benefited from conversations I had with R. Bieri during the *Geometric methods in group theory* semester in Barcelona's Centre de Recerca Matemàtica, and in Frankfurt as part of the Procope program.

### 1. Closed one-forms.

Let  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a homomorphism. A *closed one-form* relative to  $\chi$  consists of a path-connected space  $X$  equipped with an action of  $G$ , together with a continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$f(gx) = f(x) + \chi(g)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $g \in G$ .

The closed one-form is *geometric* if  $G$  acts as a group of covering transformations and the base  $X/G$  is (homeomorphic to) a finite CW-complex. Note that this forces  $G$  to be finitely generated.

**Example 1.** Let  $G$  be the trivial group. Then any function on a path-connected space defines a closed one-form.

**Example 2.** Let  $\omega$  be a closed differential one-form on a closed manifold  $M$ . Let  $\chi : \pi_1 M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the homomorphism given by integrating  $\omega$  along loops. Let  $p : X \rightarrow M$  be the universal covering. Then any  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $df = p^*\omega$  defines a geometric closed one-form relative to  $\chi$ .

**Example 3.** Let  $\Gamma$  be the Cayley graph of  $G$  relative to some fixed generating system. Given a homomorphism  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , view it as a function on the set of vertices of  $\Gamma$ , and extend it affinely and  $G$ -equivariantly to a function  $f$  defined on the whole of  $\Gamma$ . This defines a closed one-form relative to  $\chi$ . It is geometric if and only if the generating system is finite.

**Example 4.** Any abelian action of  $G$  on an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree  $T$  defines a closed one-form  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  (see Part 2).

If  $f$  is a closed one-form on  $X$ , we denote  $X_{>c} = f^{-1}(c, +\infty)$  for  $c \in \mathbf{R}$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a geometric closed one-form relative to a nonzero homomorphism  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ . For any  $c \in \mathbf{R}$ , the set  $X_{>c}$  has at least one component on which  $f$  is unbounded. This component is unique if and only if  $\chi \in \Sigma(G)$ .*

*Proof:* Since  $f$  is geometric, the group  $G$  acts on  $X$  as a group of covering transformations. Let  $X' = X/G'$ , where  $G'$  is the commutator subgroup of  $G$ . The function  $f$  induces  $f' : X' \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $X'_{>c} = f'^{-1}(c, +\infty)$ . By [BNS, Part 5], there exists a unique component  $A'$  of  $X'_{>c}$  on which  $f'$  is unbounded, and  $\chi \in \Sigma(G)$  if and only if the natural map from  $\pi_1 A'$  to  $G'$  is onto (compare [Le 1, Parts IV and V] and [Si]). The proposition follows. ■

## 2. Abelian actions on $\mathbf{R}$ -trees.

Suppose a finitely generated group  $G$  acts by isometries on an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree  $T$ .

The *length function*  $\ell : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  is defined as  $\ell(g) = \inf_{x \in T} d(x, gx)$ . The action is *trivial* if there is a global fixed point (equivalently if  $\ell \equiv 0$ ), *minimal* if there is no proper invariant subtree. The action (or the length function) is called *abelian* if  $\ell$  is the absolute value of a nonzero homomorphism  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ . Two minimal actions of  $G$  with the same length function  $\ell$  are equivariantly isometric, except maybe if  $\ell$  is abelian. Brown's theorem (Theorem 3.2 below) is concerned with this "maybe".

A nontrivial action is abelian if and only if there is a fixed end  $e$ . We can then define a closed one-form on  $T$ , as follows. Given  $x \in T$ , there is a unique isometric embedding  $i_x : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow T$  such that  $i_x(-\infty) = e$

and  $i_x(0) = x$ . Fixing a basepoint  $m \in T$ , we define  $f(x)$  as the only real number such that  $i_x(t) = i_m(t + f(x))$  for  $|t|$  large enough ("Busemann function"). Then  $f$  is a closed one-form on  $T$ , relative to some nonzero homomorphism  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfying  $\ell = |\chi|$ . This homomorphism measures how much elements of  $G$  push *away from*  $e$ .

An abelian action is called *exceptional* if there is only one fixed end  $e$ . We can then define  $\chi$  unambiguously, and we say that the action is *associated* to  $\chi$ . If there are two fixed ends (i.e. if there is an invariant line), we say that the action is associated to both  $\chi$  and  $-\chi$ .

### 3. Characterizations of $\Sigma$ .

Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be continuous, with  $X$  path-connected. Assume  $f$  has bounded variation in the following sense: given  $x, y \in X$ , there exists a path  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  from  $x$  to  $y$  such that  $f \circ \gamma$  has bounded variation. The infimum of the total variation of  $f \circ \gamma$  over all paths  $\gamma$  from  $x$  to  $y$  then defines a pseudometric  $d(x, y)$  on  $X$ .

We let  $T(f)$  be the associated metric space: points of  $T(f)$  are equivalence classes for the relation  $d(x, y) = 0$ . Denote  $\pi : X \rightarrow T(f)$  the natural projection and  $\lambda : T(f) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  the map such that  $\lambda \circ \pi = f$ .

If  $f$  is a closed one-form relative to some  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , there is an induced isometric action of  $G$  on  $T(f)$  with  $\lambda(gx) = \lambda(x) + \chi(g)$ . When  $T(f)$  is an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree, the length function  $\ell$  of this action satisfies  $\ell \geq |\chi|$  (since  $\lambda$  does not increase distances).

**Definition.** Consider an abelian action of a finitely generated group  $G$  on an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree  $T$ , associated to  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ . The action is *geometric* if and only if there exists a geometric closed one-form  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  relative to  $\chi$  such that  $T(f)$  is  $G$ -equivariantly isometric to  $T$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a nontrivial homomorphism, with  $G$  a finitely generated group. There exists a geometric abelian action of  $G$  on an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree associated to  $\chi$  if and only if  $\chi \in -\Sigma$ .*

*Proof:*

Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a geometric closed one-form relative to  $\chi$ . Assume that  $T(f)$  is an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree and the action of  $G$  on  $T(f)$  is abelian, associated to  $\chi$ . We show  $\chi \in -\Sigma$ .

Fix  $g \in G$  with  $\chi(g) < 0$ , and fix  $x \in X$  with, say,  $f(x) = 0$ . For  $A$  large enough, the path component  $U$  of  $f^{-1}(-A, A)$  containing  $x$  meets every orbit for the action of  $G$  on  $X$ : this is because  $X/G$  is a finite complex. We may also assume that  $A$  has been chosen so that  $gx \in U$ .



We then claim that any  $y \in X$  with  $f(y) \leq -A$  belongs to the same component of  $f^{-1}(-\infty, A)$  as  $x$ . This will imply  $\chi \in -\Sigma$  by Proposition 1.1.

Choose an infinite path  $\gamma : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow X$  such that  $\gamma|_{[0,1]}$  is a path from  $x$  to  $gx$  in  $U$  and  $\gamma(t+n) = g^n\gamma(t)$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Since  $\chi(g) < 0$  this path is contained in  $f^{-1}(-\infty, A)$ .

Given  $y \in X$  with  $f(y) \leq -A$ , fix  $h \in G$  such that  $hy \in U$ , and choose a path  $\delta$  from  $hy$  to  $x$  in  $U$ . Consider the infinite path  $\rho$  obtained by applying  $h^{-1}$  to  $\delta\gamma$ : it starts at  $y$  and passes through  $h^{-1}x$ ,  $h^{-1}gx$ ,  $h^{-1}g^2x, \dots$ . It is contained in  $f^{-1}(-\infty, A)$  since  $f(y) \leq -A$ .

The image of  $\gamma$  in  $T(f)$  contains all points  $g^n\pi(x)$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ), while the image of  $\rho$  contains all points  $h^{-1}g^n\pi(x) = (h^{-1}gh)^n\pi(h^{-1}x)$ . Now the translation axes of  $g$  and  $h^{-1}gh$  intersect in a half-line containing the fixed end  $e$  (unless they are equal). Furthermore  $g$  and  $h^{-1}gh$  both push towards  $e$  since  $\chi(g) < 0$ . It follows that  $\gamma$  and  $\rho$  are contained in the same component of  $f^{-1}(-\infty, A)$ , so that  $\chi \in \Sigma$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\chi \in -\Sigma$ . First assume that  $G$  is finitely presented. Let  $M$  be a closed manifold with  $\pi_1 M = G$ . Consider a geometric closed one-form  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  as in Example 2 of Part 1. To fix ideas we may assume that  $f$  is a Morse function.

Since  $X$  is simply connected (it is the universal covering of  $M$ ), it is known [GS] that  $T(f)$  is an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree (see [Le 2, Corollary III.5]). Since  $\chi \in -\Sigma$  the function  $\lambda : T(f) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is bounded on every component of  $\lambda^{-1}(-\infty, c)$  but one. It follows that the action of  $G$  on  $T(f)$  is abelian, associated to  $\chi$ : letting  $\lambda$  go to  $-\infty$  defines an end  $e$  of  $T(f)$  which is invariant under the action.

Now let  $G$  be any finitely generated group. Using (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) in [BNS, Proposition 2.1] we can find an epimorphism  $q : H \rightarrow G$ , with  $H$  finitely presented, such that  $\chi' = \chi \circ q$  belongs to  $-\Sigma(H)$ . Apply the previous construction to  $H$  and  $\chi'$ . Let  $Y$  be the normal covering of  $M$  with group  $G$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  the map induced by  $f$ .

The length function of the action of  $H$  on the  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree  $T(f)$  is  $\ell = |\chi'|$ . It vanishes on the kernel  $K$  of  $q$ . It follows from [Le 2, corollary of Theorem 2] that  $T(g) = \widehat{T(f)/K}$  is an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree. The action of  $G$  on this  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree is abelian, associated to  $\chi$ . ■

**Theorem 3.2 (Brown).** *Let  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a nontrivial homomorphism, with  $G$  finitely generated. Then  $\chi \in \Sigma$  if and only if there exists no exceptional abelian action associated to  $\chi$ .*

We start the proof with a general construction. Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be continuous, with  $X$  path connected. We construct an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree  $T^+(f)$  as

follows. Given  $x, y \in X$ , define

$$\delta(x, y) = f(x) + f(y) - 2 \sup_{\gamma} \min_{t \in [0, 1]} f(\gamma(t)),$$

the supremum being over all paths  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  from  $x$  to  $y$ . This is a pseudodistance on  $X$  and we let  $T^+(f)$  be the associated metric space.

**Proposition 3.3.** *The space  $T^+(f)$  is an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree. If  $\mu : T^+(f) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is the map induced by  $f$ , all sets  $\mu^{-1}(-\infty, c)$  are path-connected, so that  $T^+(f)$  has a preferred end  $e = \mu^{-1}(-\infty)$ .*

*Proof:*

We first prove that  $T^+(f)$  is an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree. By [AB, Theorem 3.17] it suffices to show that  $\delta$  satisfies the 0-hyperbolicity inequality

$$\delta(x, y) + \delta(z, t) \leq \max\{\delta(x, z) + \delta(y, t), \delta(x, t) + \delta(y, z)\}.$$

By linearity we need only worry about the terms  $\delta' = \sup \min f \circ \gamma$ . They satisfy inequalities such as

$$\delta'(x, y) \leq \min\{\max(\delta'(x, z), \delta'(z, y)), \max(\delta'(x, t), \delta'(t, y))\}$$

and we conclude by applying the inequality

$$\min\{\max(a, c), \max(b, d)\} + \min\{\max(a, b), \max(c, d)\} \leq \max(a+d, b+c),$$

valid for any four real numbers  $a, b, c, d$ .

Let  $\pi^+$  be the projection from  $X$  to  $T^+(f)$ . Given  $x, y \in X$  in  $f^{-1}(-\infty, c)$  with, say,  $f(y) \leq f(x)$ , choose a path  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  from  $x$  to  $y$ . If  $(p, q)$  is a maximal interval in  $(f \circ \gamma)^{-1}(f(x), +\infty)$ , we have  $(\pi^+ \circ \gamma)(p) = (\pi^+ \circ \gamma)(q)$  and we can change  $\pi^+ \circ \gamma$  on  $(p, q)$  so that it becomes constant on  $[p, q]$ . Doing this for all intervals  $(p, q)$  yields a path from  $\pi^+(x)$  to  $\pi^+(y)$  in  $\mu^{-1}(-\infty, c)$ . ■

If  $f$  is a closed one-form relative to  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , the natural action of  $G$  on  $T^+(f)$  fixes  $e$ . It is abelian, associated to  $\chi$  (note that this action is nongeometric whenever  $\chi \notin -\Sigma$ , by Theorem 3.1).

To prove Theorem 3.2, we fix a finite generating system  $S$  for  $G$  with  $\chi(s) > 0$  for every  $s \in S$  and we consider the corresponding Cayley graph  $\Gamma$ .

First assume  $\chi \notin \Sigma$ . Let  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be as in Example 3 of Part 1. We claim that the abelian action of  $G$  on  $T^+(f)$  is exceptional.

Let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  be vertices of  $\Gamma$  belonging to distinct components  $U_1, U_2$  of some  $f^{-1}(c, +\infty)$ . Fix  $s \in S$ . The whole ray  $u_i, u_i s, u_i s^2, \dots, u_i s^n, \dots$  is contained in  $U_i$ . Writing  $u_i s^n = (u_i s u_i^{-1})^n u_i$  we see that  $u_1 s u_1^{-1}$  and  $u_2 s u_2^{-1}$  do not have the same translation axis in  $T^+(f)$ . This means that the action is exceptional.

Now assume  $\chi \in \Sigma$ . Let  $T$  be an  $\mathbf{R}$ -tree with a minimal abelian action associated to  $\chi$ . We show that the action is not exceptional.

Choose  $x \in T$  belonging to the translation axis of every  $s \in S$ . Consider a  $G$ -equivariant map  $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow T$ , affine on each edge, sending 1 to  $x$ . It is surjective because the action is minimal.

Define  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  as in Part 2. The choice of  $x$  implies that  $g = f \circ \varphi$  is monotonous on each edge of  $\Gamma$ . It follows that  $g$  is unbounded on every component of  $g^{-1}(c, +\infty)$ , so that  $g^{-1}(c, +\infty)$  is connected for every  $c \in \mathbf{R}$  by Proposition 1.1. Projecting to  $T$  we see that every  $f^{-1}(c, +\infty)$  is connected: the action is not exceptional. ■

Combining Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 we get:

**Corollary.** *Let  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a nonzero homomorphism, with  $G$  finitely generated.*

- (1) *If  $\chi \in \Sigma \cap -\Sigma$ , the action of  $G$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  by translations is the only minimal action with length function  $\ell = |\chi|$ . It is geometric.*
- (2) *If  $\chi \in \Sigma$  but  $\chi \notin -\Sigma$ , there exist geometric exceptional abelian actions associated to  $-\chi$ . The only minimal action associated to  $\chi$  is the action on  $\mathbf{R}$ , it is not geometric.*
- (3) *If  $\chi \notin \Sigma \cup -\Sigma$ , there exist both exceptional abelian actions associated to  $\chi$  and exceptional actions associated to  $-\chi$ . No action with length function  $|\chi|$  is geometric.*

Combining with Theorem B.1 from [BNS] we obtain:

**Corollary.** *Let  $G$  be finitely generated. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every nontrivial action of  $G$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  by translations is geometric.*
- (2) *The commutator subgroup  $G'$  is finitely generated.*

## References

- [AB] R. ALPERIN, H. BASS, Length functions of group actions on  $\Lambda$ -trees, in "Combinatorial group theory and topology," S. M. Gersten, J. R. Stallings, ed., Ann. Math. Studies 111, Princeton Univ. Press, 1987.

- [BNS] R. BIERI, W. D. NEUMANN, R. STREBEL, A geometric invariant of discrete groups, *Invent. Math.* **90** (1987), 451–477.
- [BS] R. BIERI, R. STREBEL, “Geometric invariants for discrete groups,” W. de Gruyter, Monograph to appear.
- [Br] K. S. BROWN, Trees, valuations, and the Bieri-Neumann-Strebel invariant, *Invent. Math.* **90** (1987), 479–504.
- [CuMo] M. CULLER, J. W. MORGAN, Group actions on  $\mathbf{R}$ -trees, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **55** (1987), 571–604.
- [GS] H. GILLET, P. B. SHALEN, Dendrology of groups in low  $\mathbf{Q}$ -ranks, *Jour. Diff. Geom.* **32** (1990), 605–712.
- [Le 1] G. LEVITT, 1-formes fermées singulières et groupe fondamental, *Inv. Math.* **88** (1987), 635–667.
- [Le 2] G. LEVITT, Constructing free actions on  $\mathbf{R}$ -trees, *Duke Math. Jour.* **69** (1993), 615–633.
- [LP] G. LEVITT, F. PAULIN, Geometric actions on trees, preprint.
- [Sh] P. B. SHALEN, Dendrology and its applications, in “Group theory from a geometrical viewpoint,” (E. Ghys, A. Haefliger, A. Verjovsky, eds.), World Scientific, 1991.
- [Si] J. C. SIKORAV, Homologie de Novikov associée à une classe de cohomologie réelle de degré un, in “Thèse d’état,” Orsay, 1987.

Laboratoire de Topologie et Géométrie  
 URA CNRS 1408  
 Université Toulouse III  
 31062 Toulouse Cedex  
 FRANCE

e-mail: levitt@cict.fr

Rebut el 10 de Setembre de 1993